

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/789,996	03/02/2004	Akihiro Kimura	03560.003032.1	9681	
	5514 7590 06/17/2004			EXAMINER		
	-	FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			PATEL, PARESH H	
	NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			2829		
				DATE MAILED: 06/17/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/789,996	KIMURA ET AL.	-
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paresh Patel	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REATHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a included the provision of	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	? March 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the cond	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	-, ,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority document as a claim for foreign and the priority document as a claim for foreign and the priority document as a claim for a large series and the priority document as a claim for a large series and the priority document application from the International Burnets and the priority document application from the International Burnets and the priority document application from the International Burnets and the priority document and the pri	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>10/143,783</u> . In received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_	·	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/02/04.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/789,996

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6741087. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paresh Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-1968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paresh Patel June 07, 2004